Previous references.

G.O. 274-04-6

1906-7

(Gl Trade

File No. 440/05

Serial Nos. 1687

Later references.

G.O 60-06-7

Rec'd Feb 09 88 1, 617. 9.2.1908.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Pr. 66

K-C

Serial Nos.

Part B. for July

SUBJECT.

Reported alienation of portions of Thumbunere Village, Kanyan pad K mortgaged to Govt for the loan advanced to Shri Srinivasa Urs on behalf of his minor son Kanyaraja Urs.

From the Chief Secy Mysore. 93925-7.05

Refund on the recovery of the balance of the loan granted to Mr. Srinivasa Urs on behalf of His minor son Kanyaraja Urs

G. R. S. 58

39

G. R. S. 11] 1905

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Finance)

NOTES.

File No. 407/99

File Heading.

Recovery of the balance of the loan granted to the Siddarajeswar on behalf of his minor son Thaggina raj Urs.

From The Dy Commissioner Mysore Dt

No. 93 Dated 25-7-05 Received 28-7-05

Diary No. 379 | Enclos. 1 P | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1

Brief Abstract of Letter.

Reports on the recovery of the balance of the loan granted to the Siddarajeswar on behalf of his minor son Thaggina raj Urs.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

As per G. O. No. R(Fin) 217 S 3-4 dt 24-04-05 of the 18th May 1905 the Dy Comm was requested to report whether the stringent measures for the recovery of the balance of the loan

Notes—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 40 of 1905-6

Serial No.

Granted to the Dewan Rajah on behalf of the minor Zamindar Perumal of the Deccan village of Pattanamkulam in the Tanjore District subject to the conditions mentioned by Government in their letter dated 30th June 1904 and noted in the margin.

Dewan's office 5th August 1905.

Submitted for orders.

Also see proceedings of 1st August 1905 in the Tanjore District regarding the allegation that portions of the Deccan villages have been secretly alienated by others and noticing the Government's intention to grant the Zamindar of Tanjore the remission claimed on the ground that the Government reserved the

Note—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 40 of 1905-6

Serial No.

The report called for with the petition and a receipt of a trial pass from the Dept & Commissioner of Kadur.

File & forward

31/7/05

This may be awaited

H
31-7-05

Subt. with a draft reminder

26/9/05

draft passed
H
26-9-05

To the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt
No: H 2342 dt 2-10-05
Invites attention to para 5 of his letter No: 939 dt the 25-7-05 & requests that the report regarding the Tumbuneri Inam village promised therein in reference to para 5 of G.O. No: H 7853-4 dated Rd Fin 274-04-6 the 18th May 1905.

2 From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District
No: 733 dated 10-1-06
Forwards docket No: 331 dated 24-12-05 from the Assistant Commissioner Nanjangud Division reporting on the allegations that portions of the village have been secretly alienated.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

to the detriment of the interests of Govt and States that it would appear from the records submitted that most of the transactions referred to in the petition have taken place prior to Govt Sanctioning a loan on the security of the village and that only 6 transactions have been made subsequently.

Mr Vydyanatha Iyer reports from local enquiry & submits a Statement showing the transactions made before & after the loan. He presumes that all the previous encumbrances should have been discharged out of the loan taken by Govt. The Ryots may be asked if it is so. In reference to the subsequent transactions, the inspecting officers' Statement shows that the first four were mortgages, the fifth a Gift & the Sixth a Court Sale. The Survey Nos affected by these minor transactions are 450, 365, 460, 356 & 391. He States that none of these relate to the whole village & that they all appear to have been dealt with not in the capacity of the Inamdar but merely in that of ordinary land owners of a few isolated Survey Nos. He thinks that they are by no means detrimental to the interests of Govt.

From para 4 of the Asstt Commr's report, it appears the Taluk Amildar needs to be instructed

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

Serial No.

that all the collections of the village should be credited towards the instalments due by the Inamdar. Submitted for orders.

K.S. 24/1/06

Of the alienations, twelve are before the Government loan. It must be ascertained if it was not one of the conditions that the prior encumbrances should be paid up. If it was, how many of them have been paid up and why some remain unpaid.

Of the subsequent alienations Survey no 466 has been mortgaged with possession for 6 years unless we make sure that they were all conferred to the tenants interest, we cannot say that they are not detrimental to the interests of Government.

Ask the Collr. whether he considers any action necessary in regard to the observations made by Mr. Nyayamurthy in para 43 of his report for the examination of the Inamdar, who was believed to be residing in Mysore, by local agents and if he has issued necessary instructions to the Amildar for realising the revenues of the village towards the

Serial No.

loan due to government, as printed at
page 4 of the Report.

25.1.06

Subd with a draft to say

K.S.
26.1.06

26/1/06

Passed
27.1.1906

3. In the Collectors Inspection No. H 4875-D
8.2.06. Enquiries whether he
Considers it necessary to take any
action in regard to the observations made
by the Vigilance Officer in para 3 of his report
for the examination of local affairs
of the Inamdars who are believed to be
residents of Mysore & if he has issued
the necessary instructions to the Amildar
for cancelling the grievances of the
Village Inamdars to their loan due to Govt.
4 In the Collectors Inspection No. 4 dated
3.3.06. Stated that Mr C. Kunhiraman
Tyre and Mysore Inamdars have been requested
to take down their statements of the
Inamdars who are living at Mysore
and that detailed instructions have
already been issued to all the Tahsildars
as will be seen from a copy of the circular
with the letter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCP 16—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 40 of 1905

Serial No.

Any further report be awaited

Filed for orders
27/3/06

27.3.06

5 From the Dy Comr of Mysore District
No 1115 of the 26/4/06

Adverts to Sl no 4 and States that information has been received that notices of attachment have been served upon certain lands of the Dasan Village on account of 2 private loans raised by the Dasadar subsequent to Government loan, that he has asked the Treasury Asst to come to take down the Statements of Dasadars and that on receipt of his reply, he would reply this office no H 4875 of the 8/2/06 (Sl no 3) Any further report be awaited.

Filed for orders
5/5/06

Ask for it early submission
7.5.1906

Submitted with a draft letter
Secy
11/5/06.

Issued 14-5-06

Passed 14-5-1906

Received

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 40 of 1905/6.

Serial No.	
6	To the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District no H 7897 of the 15/5/06 States that the Amildar may be directed to put in a claim to the land reported to have been attached in satisfaction of the decree passed in O.S. no 18 of 1899-00 on the file of the Subordinate Court at Mysore and requests him to submit his report on the points referred to in this office docket no H 4875 of the 8/2/06. (Serial 3)
7	From the Deputy Comr of the Mysore Dt. no 1274 of the 8/6/06. States that the Amildar of Nanjangud reported that a lawyer should be engaged on behalf of the Govt, that he has accordingly instructed the Public Prosecutor at Mysore to move the Court for an adjournment pending the decision of Govt in the matter of initial Court costs and that the Amildar solicits instructions as to whether the amount may be taken from the Revenue collections of the Village. In the question of initial incidence of Court costs will be decided by the decision of the Court. Submitted for orders. The cost amounts to Rs. yes and that will doubtless go

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

towards meeting the Court in-curred by Government. But the question now is, whether the Village mortgagee can be made to pay for the Cost of this litigation. I am of opinion, for though the mortgagee possesses the right to spend such money as is necessary for supporting the mortgagor's title to the property, yet he is not entitled to the Cost of defending his own title to the mortgage against a third person. T.P. Act XXI read 32. (But this is under the Transfer of Property Act.)

Books pertaining
MM
7/6/06

MM 7/6/06. This may be sent to Branch iii for favour of opinion.
MM 25-6-06

To Branch III
MM 25/6 Subject to order

J. Avis 21 8/25.6.06. The mortgage deed is not on the file and without referring to it no opinion can be tendered. It seems to me that the mortgagor

MGCPB—50,000—24-7-05

Serial No.

is bound to secure to the mortgagee at his expense the full value of the security as at the date of the mortgage, and a clause is usually inserted in mortgage deeds to this effect

In my opinion the costs may now be paid from the net income ~~under the head~~ and the question whether they are rightly deducted from the amount made available for payment towards the loan, may, if raised, be considered at the time of the final settlement of accounts

30-6-06

Submitted to Assistant Secretary

Please put up a draft accordingly

mus 30.6.06.

mus 30/6/06

Submitted with a draft, to Secy

mus 2/7/06.

mus 8.7.06

mus 14.7.06

8 To the Dy Com. Nuzvid

No. 3980 dt. 17.7.06.

State that the costs may be paid from the net income of the village.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

D. 399 ii Sl 1
1905 - 28/7/05 40/05

From H W Sparkes Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
B Kalkatewaradanjan Esq
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Dated 25/26 July 1905.
Dispatched
Received
General No. 93
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

States that no stringent measures are necessary to recovery of the loan granted to M. Siddaraj Urs on behalf of his son Ranjaraj Urs as far as Pattasomanahalli village is concerned -

MGCPB—50 rems—6-12-02.

No 93
& Pie.

Deputy Commissioners office,
Nagara Kothur
Dated Nagara 25th July 1905

To

B. K. Venkataramaiengar Esqr
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to para 6 of Government Proceedings No. K 7853-4 / GK 274-04-6 dated the 18th May 1905, calling for my opinion as to whether stringent measures for the recovery of the balance of the Loan granted to M. Siddaraja Iyer on behalf of his minor son Manjaraja Iyer on the Security of the Inam village of Parasonamahalli in the Seringapatam Taluk are necessary, and requesting a detailed report in the matter, I have the honor to submit herewith the correspondence ending with the French Rocks division Assistant Commissioner's memo No 1899 dated the 25th June 1905, and to state as follows:-

2. The Inamdar's agent (Babu Rao) says

in his statement given before the Amildar of the Seringapatam Taluk on 22d June 1905 that ₹ 7350/- have been paid up to date towards the loan, but no list of recoveries made towards the loan as verified with reference to the Taluk Treasury accounts has, as yet, been received from the Amildar. The same has been called for.

3. According to the balance statement received from the Comptroller, the balance on the 30th June 1904 was ₹ 2829-13-2.

4. The Assistant Commissioner reports that the recoveries towards the loan made on the security of Pattasomanahalli have been so far regular, as will be seen from the list submitted by the Amildar. No stringent measures are necessary as far as this village is concerned, and I agree with him.

5. The report called for in para 5 of the Government Proceedings under ref 3, dated the 7th Dec. 1905 from Hiram village, Nanjangud Taluk

wide

will be submitted on receipt of a final report from the Assistant Commissioner (Mr Vaidyanatha Iyer) who has again been reminded to expedite his answer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

ಕಿರಿ ಅಂಕಗಳು.

ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾಡಿದ ಭಾಷಣದ ಸಾರಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

1 ಭಾಷಣದ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬೋಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು
ಹಾಗೂ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂತೋಷ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದು — 2

2 ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿದ್ದು — 1

3 ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಮತ್ತು ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು — 1

4 ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಂದಿರುವ ಸವಾಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಗೂ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು
ಎದುರಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು — 2

5 ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು — 1

7

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಸಹಿ

ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಕಾಮ/ಟೆಂಡರ್/ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ/2005-06

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 22-6-05 ರಿಂದ 30-6-05

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಮೂಲಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ (ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್) ಯು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಹ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರುಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಿದೆ. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಕಚೇರಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಿವೆ.

1. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ - ಕನಕಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು - ಹಾರೋಹಳ್ಳಿ ಹೋಬಳಿ - ಕಾಡುಮನೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದಿಂದ ಹೊಸಕೋಟೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದವರೆಗೆ 3.2 ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ಉದ್ದದ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ರಸ್ತೆಯ ಡಾಂಬರೀಕರಣ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ. ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ ₹ 1,42,50,000. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಭದ್ರತಾ ಠೇವಣಿ ₹ 2,85,000. ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅವಧಿ 6 ತಿಂಗಳು.

2. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ - ಕನಕಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು - ಸಾತನೂರು ಹೋಬಳಿ - ಮಾಯಸಂದ್ರ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ್ ಚರಂಡಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕಿರು ಸೇತುವೆ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ. ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ ₹ 58,00,000. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಭದ್ರತಾ ಠೇವಣಿ ₹ 1,16,000. ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅವಧಿ 4 ತಿಂಗಳು.

3. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ - ಕನಕಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು - ಸಾತನೂರು ಹೋಬಳಿ - ಮಾಯಸಂದ್ರ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ್ ಚರಂಡಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕಿರು ಸೇತುವೆ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ. ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ ₹ 58,00,000. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಭದ್ರತಾ ಠೇವಣಿ ₹ 1,16,000. ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅವಧಿ 4 ತಿಂಗಳು.

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು: ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಲೋಕೋಪಯೋಗಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಗ್ರೇಡ್-II ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಾಗಿ ನೋಂದಾಯಿತರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಕಳೆದ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೇ ಸ್ವರೂಪದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸಿರುವ ಅನುಭವ ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು. ಅಪೂರ್ಣ ಟೆಂಡರುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾಲಮಿತಿಯೊಳಗೆ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳಿಸದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿ ವಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಂದ ಮೊತ್ತದ ಶೇ. 0.5 ರಂತೆ ದಂಡ ವಿಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಕೆಯ ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 30-6-05 ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 3 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ. ಅದೇ ದಿನ 3.30 ಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಿರುವ ಟೆಂಡರುದಾರರ ಸಮ್ಮುಖದಲ್ಲಿ ಟೆಂಡರುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು. ಯಾವುದೇ ಟೆಂಡರನ್ನು ಕಾರಣ ನೀಡದೆ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವ ಹಕ್ಕು ನಿಗಮಕ್ಕಿದೆ.

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಮೂಲಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ

Before me

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	250
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	200
		[illegible]	2250

[illegible]

శ్రీ జంమలింపవరయు

[illegible]

22-6-05

[illegible]

[illegible] 22-6-05 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] (700-0-0)

1905 [illegible]

[illegible] 21-6-05 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 895 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2-3 [illegible]

[illegible]

Before me

	2158 — 15 — 5
	27 — 4 — 0
	12 — 8 — 0
	142 — 5 — 7
	3 — 13 — 0
	2344 — 14 — 0
	273 — 5 — 6
	150 — 8 — 0
	30 — 0 — 0
	453 — 13 — 6
	1891 — 0 — 6
	307 — 28

94-8 (W)

2 ಎಕ್ರೆ-108-0 ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ 7-35. ಪ್ರಮಾಣ

ಹಾಗೂ 409-31-ಆಣೆ ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ ಕಾಯಂಗೊಳಿಸಿ

50-4-9 ಪೈಕಿ 61-0 ಎಕ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 28-34 ಗುಂಟೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ

ಪ್ರಮಾಣ-38-3 ಪೈಕಿ 90-0 ಎಕ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೇನಂ 3-4

16-0 ಎಕ್ರೆ 1-25 ಗುಂ-ಗಳು ಪ್ರಮಾಣ 7-35 ಗುಂ ಸರ್ವೇ

ಅಳತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಚಣೆ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ

ಸಹಿ/- ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಅವರು ಪೈಕಿ-ಸಹಿ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್

ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಾಯಂಗೊಳಿಸಿ 1905 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಕಲಂ 21 ರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

5 ಗುಂಟೆ 5 ಮೇ. ಅಳತಿಯ ಕರಾರು 1109-15-ಅನ್ವಯ

ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಅವರು

Before me

EW

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read:-

Correspondence ending with
letter no 936 dated the 24 April 1905
from the Deputy Commissioner of the
Mysore District recommending that
the Inam village of Thembarele
in the Nanjangud Taluk, on the
security of which loans were
granted to Mr. Siddaraja Urs of
Mysore and his aunt Krishnajammanni,
may, in the interests of Government, be
brought under Government management.

Order thereon No 76 7853-4 dated Bangalore
Fl. Fin 274-04-6
the 18 May 1905.

A loan of Rs. 19,000/- was under
Government order no 17,220-1. R 2445 of
the 13 April 1896, granted to Mr. Siddha
Raja Urs and his aunt Krishnajammanni,
on the security of the Inam village of
Thembarele in the Nanjangud Taluk.
The rate at which interest was pay-
-able 5 per cent per annum, and the
annual payment towards interest and
principal was fixed at Rs 1,500. The
village was, however, left in the possession
and management of the mortgagees
subject

Subject to the condition that the Government may take possession of it in case of any default in payment of the annual instalment. The amount paid towards the loan up to the 27th March 1897 was Rs 1750- the balance of principal due on the 30th June 1896 being Rs 18,341-10-8.

(7) A further loan of Rs 10,000 was, in Government order no 9264-5.R.7. 106-96 of the 25th April 1897, sanctioned on the security of the same village, with the direction that the first loan (of which Rs 18,341-10-8 were due on the 30th June 1896) should be reduced to Rs 17,500 by recovering Rs 1,758-11-11 (Rs 1,500 towards the instalment due for 1896-97 and Rs 258-11-11 as an additional amount) the Ag. Investigator was requested to obtain a fresh mortgage deed from the mortgagors for the entire loan of Rs 27,500/- on the same conditions as those laid down in the order sanctioning the first loan. The amount was ordered to be repaid by annual instalments of Rs 2,500 including interest commencing from the 1st

1st of July 1897, the interest on the loan of Rs 10,000 up to the 1st of July 1897 having recovered on due date

(3) From the Deputy Commissioner's letter cited above it will be seen that no portion of this amount has been recovered. Krishna-jammanni, who after the death of M. Seddaraja Urs, became sole manager of the Kuam village as guardian of his minor son, has been grossly negligent in the payment of the dues to Government. The Deputy Commissioner suggests that the village may be brought under Government management and Government accordingly direct that it may be taken over forthwith under the terms of the mortgage above referred to. The Commissioner is requested to report whether the sum of Rs 1,758-11-11 referred to in para 2 above has been recovered.

(4) Government view with displeasure the conduct of the officers concerned in having failed upto Government from time to time the non-payment of the instalments of

of the loan granted by Govern-
ment and the steps taken for
their recovery.

(5) As regards the allegation that
portions of the Inam village have
been secretly alienated to others, the
conduct of the Amildar of the
Nanjangud Taluk in having failed
to make a local enquiry as the
matter is highly reprehensible.
Government await the report called for
on the subject from the Assistant
Commissioner.

(6) In regard to the loan of Rs
7,000 granted to M. Siddarajus
Urs, on behalf of his minor
son, Nanjaraj Urs, on the security
of the Inam village of Puttasoma
nahalli in the Seringapatam Taluk
in Government order No 35-37-38-
R 1638 dated the 7th December 1894,
the Dy Commissioner is requested to
favor Government with his
opinion as to whether stringent
measures for the recovery of the
balance of the loan due are
necessary and submit a detailed
report with a statement showing
the Amounts Principal and the
dates

Dates on which they were received.

Signed/ T. Lakshmanaya Chetty
for Secretary

By Commissioners Office
Mysore District, Dated the
26th May 1905

No 3660
9993/29

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Assistant Commissioner Hunsur Division for information

He is requested that a detailed report on the point as to whether stringent measures are necessary for the recovery of the balance of the loan of Rs may be submitted as early as possible, after making needful enquiries in the matter.

By Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

మాంవరి వేంకటయ్యకు వ్యతిరేకంగా ఇచ్చిన
దరఖాస్తులకు సంబంధించి సాక్ష్యాధారాలు తెలియజేయడానికి
కచ్చితంగా హాజరవ్వవలసిందిగా ఆదేశించడమైనది

ఇట్లు
ఎం.ఎస్.ఎం-ఎల్.ఆర్.సి.నం.బి.రా
జేంద్రనగర్ మండలం - రంగారెడ్డి జిల్లా

తేదీ 27-6-05

ఉపవిభాగాధికారి

D. 2962 Gen 7m

ii minute 15-1-06 1906 (29)

From H. W. Stanker Esqr Ch Fin 40-05

Deputy Commissioner

Nagore Dt

To B. K. Venkatarangaiengar Esqr

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

General Revenue Departmt

Dated 10/12

Despatched January 1906.

Received

General No. 733

No.

Enclosure No. 1 Ple.

Submits report re. the alienation of portions of Thiruvarur village in the Nanjangud Taluk.

Deputy Commissioners office

No 733

Mysore Districts

Circular Dated Mysore 10/12 January 1906

To

B. Venkatarangaiengar Esqr.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

Adverting to para 5 of Government Proceedings No PC 7853-4 dated the 18th May 1905 and Sl. No. PC 274-04-6 and as promised in my letter No 93 dated the 25th July 1905, re the allegations that portions of the Inam village of Thumba Nerle village in the Nanjangud Taluk have been secretly & alienated to the detriment of the interests of Government, I have the honor to forward herewith in original docket No 381 dated 24th December 1905 from the Assistant Commissioner, Nanjangud Division reporting on the matter.

2. It would appear from the records herewith submitted that most of the transactions

transactions referred to in the petition have taken place prior to Government sanctioning a loan on the security of the village in question and that only 6 transactions have been made subsequently.

3. The records dealing with the Nanjangude Division Assistant Commissioner's Docket No 331 dated 24th December 1905 are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H. H. Sparkes.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 40-61
Serial No (3)

Diary No. of letter replied to 2962

No. H 4875

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Gen: Fin)
, the 8—2—1906.

—do—

Date of issue

Signed by W Satyanarayanaiyer

To

The S.D. of Nuposi.

In returning the enclosures ~~received with~~ of his letter No. 7330 dtd 10.1.06, reporting on the allegations that certain portions of the Thumba-nellé village in the Nanjanad Taluk, Nav. under Govt. management, have been secretly alienated by the Inamdars to the detriment of Govt. interests, has the honor to request the SD to be so good as to state whether he considers it necessary to take any action in regard to the observations made by Mr. Vaidyanatha Iyer, in para 3 of his report, for the examination, by local officers, of the Inamdars who are believed to be residents of

over.

SUBJECT.

impose and if he has issued necessary instructions to the Amildar for Crediting the revenues of the village towards the loan due to Govt., as pointed out in para 4 of the A.C's report.

B.O.

BKO 27-1-1906

Secretary.

V 26.1.06

List of enclosures,

Copied by MRa 2/2

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

D.3677

(4)

Ell Fin 40-05

From

The Dy Comm
Mysore Dist

To

The Secretary, Govt of Kar Depts

Dated 3 Mar 06.

Despatched 5

Received

General No. 918

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Sends a copy of Cir: issued to all the Amildars re bans to Jahgirdars & states that the Dy office has been asked to obtain statements of Mysore residents re alienation of a portion of Thumbanahalli village Nanjangud Tq

No 918
Fin

Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 3d March 1906

To

B. Venkatarangaiengar Esqr.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and Revenue Department

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your docket No. Rc 4875 & Rc 40-05-3 dated the 8th February 1906, re the allegation that portions of the Inam Thumbavere village in the Nanjangud Taluk have been alienated by the Inamdars to the detriment of Government interests, I have the honor to state that Mr. C. Krishna Row, Treasury Assistant Commissioner, has been requested to take down the statements of the Inamdars, believed to be the residents of Mysore.

2. As to the point whether instructions have been issued to the Taluk Amildars for enquiring the alienations of the Inam village towards the close,

I beg to state that detailed instructions have already been issued to all the Talukdars as may be seen from a Copy herewith for their perusal of the Vernacular circular issued from this office to all the Amildars of the Districts.

I have the honour to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Nagpur District

ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ವರ್ಗಾವಣೆ ಕೌನ್ಸೆಲಿಂಗ್ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ (ಫಾರಂ 1)

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಹೆಸರು	ಶಾಲಾ ವಿವರ		ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ವಿವರ				ಶೈಕ್ಷಣಿಕ ಅರ್ಹತೆಗಳು			ಜನ್ಮ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಆಯ್ಕೆಗೆ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು
		ಶಾಲಾ ವಿವರ	ಶಾಲಾ ಕೋಡ್	ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಒಟ್ಟು ಅವಧಿ	ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅವಧಿ		ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅವಧಿ	ಶೈಕ್ಷಣಿಕ ಅರ್ಹತೆಗಳು	ವಿಶೇಷ ಅರ್ಹತೆಗಳು		ಜನ್ಮ ದಿನಾಂಕ	
					ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ							

ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ವರ್ಗಾವಣೆ ಕೌನ್ಸೆಲಿಂಗ್ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ (ಫಾರಂ 2)

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಹೆಸರು	ಶಾಲಾ ವಿವರ	ಸೇವೆ			ಸೇವೆ	6 ನೇ ತರಗತಿಯಿಂದ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಶಾಲೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ವಿವರ				ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು	ಷರಾ
			ಸೇರಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಸೇವಾವಧಿ	ಒಟ್ಟು ಸೇವಾವಧಿ	ಶಾಲೆಯ ಹೆಸರು	ಊರು	ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು	ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9				

Dated 29.11.05

Dy Commissioner
Jhansi District

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

7-9-05 న జాబు 1332 [illegible] 291 [illegible]

[illegible]

16-9-1905 న జాబు 3565-73

mis 535-03-33 [illegible]

[illegible]

2 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

6 [illegible]

1906 D 4591

From H. A. Sparkes Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To B. A. Venkatavaradachariar Esq
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev & Agl Department
Bangalore

Dated 26th April 1906

Despatched 30th do.

Received

General No. 1115
No.

98/92 Rev

Enclosure No. 5

Re the secret alienations made by the Inamdars of the Thumbunerle village in the Nanjangud Taluk.

No 115/Gen 5

Deputy Commissioners office
Nagore 2nd Court
Dated Nagore 26th April 1906

To

B K Venkatachariengar Esqr Gen Dis 40-05
Secretary to the Court of Nagore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter No 918 dated the 2nd March 1906 on the Petroh abomination made by the Inamdars of the Thanjavur village in the Nanjangud Taluk to the detriment of Government interests, I have the honour to state that the Amildar of the Nanjangud Taluk reported on the 8th February 1906, that the Shanbhag of the village in question received from the Sub Judge's Court in Nagore, two petitions of attachment (herewith submitted for reference) in respect of a portion of certain lands of the said Inam village, for due publicity being given in the village. Thereon the Sub Judge was addressed for further details as to whether these lands

debts were contracted prior to the grant of the Crown from Government on the Security of the Drain Village. He does not inform me that the settlement is in respect of 2 private loans contracted in October 1893 and in January 1899 and that the Amildar of the Navsari Taluka may be instructed to join in a Compromise on behalf of Government for these properties.

2. The private loans now amounting to Rs 5175/- including interest and Court costs raised in January 1899 on the hypothecation of land viz No 365 and other lands in the village is subsequent to the granting of Government Loans and therefore seems to be a questionable transaction, inasmuch as a portion of the entire village already mortgaged to Government is again hypothecated to some other private party.

3. I have reported these circumstances to Government for such orders as they

they deem proper to pass in the matter.

4. Copies of the marginally noted Communications are also submitted for reference.

5. The Treasury Assistant Commissioner Mr. Chakravarti has been requested to take down the statements of the Inamdars who are said to be the residents of Nagpore and his reply is awaited. He is again reminded and on the receipt of his reply your Docket No. 3C 4875 dated the 8th December 1906 will be replied to.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Assistant
Deputy Commissioner
Nagpore District

(1) Marginally noted Circular No 1148 dated 8th December 1906
received with the Assistant Commissioner's Docket No 4875 dated
20th December 1906

ಪ್ರಿಸಿಡಿಯರಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್
ಸ್ಥಾವರಸ್ವತ್ತು ಜಪ್ತಿ
ಸಿ|| ಪ್ರೊ|| ಕೋ ೦ 271 ನೇ
ಪ್ರಕರಣ.

ನಮೂನೆ ನಂ. 25, ಸಿವಿಲ್.

ಸರ್/1899 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜೆ.ಎಲ್.ಜೋಳದ್ದಮ ನಂಬರ್ 18

ಸರ್/1900-06 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಬಿ.ಆರ್.ಜಿ ನಂಬರ್ 265

1098-9-4 ಕೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ಷವಾಗಿ

ಸರ್ ೦೦೦/ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 13 ಕಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲು

ಸರ್/1899-00 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜೆ.ಎಲ್. 18 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ

ನಿನ್ನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಗೆ ಸವಿಸ್ತಾರವಾಗಿ ನೀವು ತಪ್ಪಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಡ್ಯೂಲ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಿರ ಸೊತ್ತನ್ನು ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಮುತ್ತೊತ್ತಿನ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬಿರೀದಿ ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಇನಾವಿನ ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಯಾ ಪ್ರಕಾರಾಂತರವಾಗಿ ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಮೊಜ ಕಡಿಸಿಂದು ಇದರಿಂದ ನೀವು ನಿಬಂಧವಲ್ಲ ಸ್ವ ಆತಂಕಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇದ್ದೀರೆ ಮನ್ನೂ ಆದನ್ನು ಯಾರೂ ಬಿರೀದಿಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಇನಾವಿನ ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿ ಯಾ ಪ್ರಕಾರಾಂತರವಾಗಿ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳ ಕೂಡದೆಂದು ಇದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ವ ಸ್ವ ಜನರು ನಿಬಂಧನೆಸ್ಪತಿ ದ್ವಾರೆಂದು ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಸರ್ ೦೦೦/ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 18

ಕಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟು ಮೊಹರನಿಸಿ ನನ್ನ ಹಸ್ತಾಕ್ಷರದೊಡನೆ ಕೊಡೋಣವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ

ಹೆಡ್ಕ್ಲರ್ಕ್

[redacted]

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಸಮನ್ಸ್ ಪಟ್ಟಿ
ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಕೋಡ್ 274 ರ
ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ನಂ. 25, ಸಿವಿಲ್.

ಸಿ ಇಸವಿ [redacted] ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಸಿ ಸಿ೨೨-೦೮ ಸಿ ಇಸವಿ [redacted] ಕೊಡಿದ ಕ್ರಮ ನಂಬರ್. 18

ಸಿ ಇಂ೦5-೦೬ ಸಿ ಇಸವಿ [redacted] ಅರ್ಜಿ ನಂಬರ್. 285

ಅಲಿಂಗ 27-2

ಸಾದಿ
1ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ
2ನೇ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
1ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ
2ನೇ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ

1098-9-4 ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರಿಗೆ

ಸಿ ಇಂ/ಸಿ ಇಸವಿ [redacted] ತಿಂಗಳು [redacted] ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಿ ಸಿ೨೨-೦೮ ಇಸವಿ [redacted] 18 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ [redacted] ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ

ನಿವೃತ್ತ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಾನಪಡಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನೀವು ಹಾಜರಿರಿ. ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿ ಸೂಕ್ತವೆನಿಸಿದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸೊತ್ತನ್ನು ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಳಪಡಿಸಿ ಅಂತಿಮ ಆದೇಶ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಇದರಿಂದ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಿ ಇಂ೦6 ಇಸವಿ [redacted] ತಿಂಗಳು 18

ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಬೋಹರಿನಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಮುದ್ರೆಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

[redacted]

ಸಹಿ/-
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು

BGJP—23-12-04—19000—HD

№ 1148

7:14:4

Nanjangud Taluk Cutcherry

Dated 8th February 1906

From

The Amildar

Nanjangud Taluk

To

The Senior Assistant Commissioner

in Charge of the Nanjangud Taluk

Sir,

I beg to report that two Notices of attachment (1) of a portion of the Thumba Nerle village and two Naja numbers & (2) of some more Survey numbers and a Naja No have been sent by the Sub Yudge of Mysore to the Shanabag of that village for execution here. The whole village is under the management of the Government, in accordance with the Government order No H 7853-4 dated 18.5.1905 on account of debt due to Government by one Krishnajammanni and late Siddaraj Urs, the amount of debt due being Rs 20000/- (Vide Govt Proceedings No 1922-1 dated 13-4-1895)

[redacted]

The 1st notice of attachment in Civil Suit No 10 of 1905-06 of this Said Court is alleged to be on account of a debt of Rs 5775 due to one Subbammanni by Sri. M.S. Ranjaraj Urs Son of late Siddaraj Urs and late of this village and Inam No 460 & 365 corresponding to the marginally noted S. Nos were attached for it.

The 2nd notice of attachment (Execution No 285 of 1905-06) is on account of the 1028-9-4 due by the said Ranjaraj Urs and his minor Inamdar Ammani to one Mahavira Vardhamanaiya, Guardian Sivadevamma, who has made over the decree to (1) Gurikar Madakka and (2) Lakshmanachar and the marginally noted S Nos and Inam 460 have been attached for the said amount.

The order of attachment is dated 18-1-1906 and the Shanbhag has sent them here with his report. It is not known whether the mortgage to the Government

Inam No 460							Inam			
S No	Extent			Assessment			S No	Extent		
	A	G		R	A	P		A	G	
263	1	37		1	12	"	357	"	32	
264	1	34		1	12	"	358	2	14	
265	2	4		2	"	"	359	2	28	
266	2	2		2	"	"	360	2	9	
267	2	11		2	8	"	362	1	30	
268	2	22		2	8	"				
269	2	18		2	4	"				
270	2	27		12	"	"				
271	2	2		2	"	"				
272	2	14		2	4	"				
279	2	5		11	-	"				
280	1	30		7	8	"				

S No	Extent	Assessment
357	4.32	14-"
359	2.28	12-"
360	2.10	12-"
282	1.33	9-"
283	2-16	12-"

is prior to the deeds for which the attachment order is now issued. However as it is our duty to guard the Government interest I have submitted the information so that necessary action may be taken.

Since the village was ordered to be taken under Government's management on 18-5-1905 Rs 1124-13-9 have been collected of which Rs 461-6-9 were credited towards the Local Cess due to Government and the remainder Rs 663-7-0 credited towards repayment of debts due to Government by the late Sidaraj Iyer and Krishnajammanni.

I have &c,

Signed A Krishnaswamy Iyengar

Amildar

"Coke"

Deputy Commissioner

Nagore Division

1906

From

J. Paramanandam Esq

Subordinate Judge, Negore

To

H.A. Sparks Esq

Deputy Commissioner

Negore

No 231 dated 27th March 1906.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 2506 dated 21st instant, I have the honor to state the following:-

Of the two debts referred to there the one relating to notice of attachment No(1) noted in the margin was contracted on 9.10.1893 on the hypothecation of some properties which have now attached and that relating to notice No (2) on 29.1.1899 on the hypothecation of Survey No 365 were attached and some other lands.

The Amildar may be instructed to put in a claim to the attached lands in due course and on formal proof of the mortgage to Government

(1) Notice in Civil Suit No 288 of 1905-06
OS No 18 of 1899-1900

(2) Notice in Civil Suit No 405-06
OS No 10 of 1905-06
Both of the file of this Court.

to right to precedence, the same will
noted in the sale that may be ordered
execution of those decrees.

I have &c

Signed J. Parminaraja
Subordinate Judge.

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner
Negore Dt.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 4[illegible]
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. H7897

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 15-5-1906.

To

The Dy. Commissioner
of the Mysore District

Date of issue

Signed by [illegible]

With reference this letter No. 1115 of the 26th. April 1906 reporting in the matter of the Secret alienations made by the Inamdars of the Thumbunere village in the Hansur [illegible] Taluk, has the honor to State that as suggested by the Sub Judge, the Amildar may be directed to put in a claim to the lands reported to have been attached in satisfaction of the decree passed in O.S. No. 18 of 1899-1900 on the file of the Sub Judges Court at Mysore and to request that he will be so good as to

over.

SUBJECT.

submitted early of his report on the points referred to in this office docket no 7L4875 of the 8th February, 1906

B.O
Secretary
14.5.1906

mmlc 14-5-06

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

11 June 1906

1906 Jun 7

From The Commissioner Mysore

To The Secretary Govt of Rev Depts

Dated 6 June 06

Despatched

Received

D. 5227

General No. 1274

No.

Enclosure No.

Seeks orders re Contract Co. & proceeding with the Kumbar Case

No 294

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated Mysore 8th June 1906

7

Ser Fin 40-

From

H H Sparkes Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your docket no FD 9897-81 FD 40-05-6 dated the 15th May 1906, re the Shunbunerle village in the Nanjengud Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2 The Amildar of the Nanjengud taluk having reported that the services of a lawyer should be engaged in order to put in a claim to the Court on behalf of Government, I have instructed the Public Prosecutor at Mysore to move the Court for an adjournment pending the decision of Government in the matter of initial court costs.

3 The Amildar solicits instructions as to whether the amount to cover the court

Court costs to be incurred at the outset can be taken from the Revenue Collections of the village, and I therefore request the orders of Government as to who should bear the costs.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your Most obedient Servant

H H Sparke.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 449/98
Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 5227

No. H398

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Gen Fin)
, *the* 17-7-1906.

To
The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

Date of issue —do—

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no 1274 dated 8/6/06 soliciting orders as to how ~~the initial~~ the Court costs incurred in defending the interest of ~~to be incurred in the claims~~ of Government regarding the Dumbmeke Village are to be met, I am directed to state that they may be paid from the net income of the Village.

I have &c.

over.

for Secretary

SUBJECT.

2nd 2/7/06